



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,584	09/27/2006	Bruno Mougin	129432	8064

25944 7590 01/15/2009  
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC  
P.O. BOX 320850  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER
----------

POHNERT, STEVEN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1634

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/15/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/594,584

**Applicant(s)**

MOUGIN ET AL.

**Examiner**

Steven C. Pohnert

**Art Unit**

1634

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period **will** apply and **will** expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply **will**, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 September 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is supplemental to correct the journal of the Brodeur reference and provide a copy of the reference.

This action is in response to paper filed 9/12/2008.

The response filed 9/12/2008 has canceled claims 1-12 and presented new claims 13 and 14.

All previous rejections have been withdrawn as moot in view of the canceling of claims 1-12.

This action contains new grounds of rejection not previously presented and thus is non-final.

The objection to the specification has been overcome in view of the amendment.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103-NewGrounds***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1634

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maestro et al (Genes & Development (1999) volume 13, pages 2207-2217), Rosivatz et al (American Journal of pathology (2002) volume 161, pages 1881-1891), Martin et al (Breast Cancer research and Treatment(2003) volume 82, pages S117-s118, abstract 480) and Brodeur (nature Reviews Cancer (2003) volume 3, pages 203-216).

Claim 13 is drawn to a method of prognosing neuroblastoma comprising analyzing Twist expression in a neuroblastoma sample from a patient and comparing Twist expression to Twist expression in a patient with good prognosis and basing prognosis at least in part on Twist expression.

Maestro et al teaches, "A defining characteristic of tumor cells is the escape from regulatory mechanisms that normally restrain cell proliferation. This is accomplished through the accumulation of multiple genetic alterations. Among these are the inactivation of key tumor suppression pathways and the activation of oncogenes" (page 2207, 1<sup>st</sup> column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). Maestro teaches that Twist was identified as a gene that inhibited cell death (apoptosis) (page 2209, 1<sup>st</sup> column, 3<sup>rd</sup> full paragraph). Maestro teaches that over expression of Twist inhibited cell death in response to serum starvation page 2209, 2nd column, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph). Maestro teaches Twist antagonizes p53 induced growth arrest and apoptosis.

Rosivatz et al teaches that epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) plays a crucial role during early steps of cancer metastasis (abstract). Rosivatz teaches that

Art Unit: 1634

Twist is known regulate EMT by its role as a transcription factor through its regulation of N-cadherin (page 1882, 1<sup>st</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Rosivatz teaches that Twist and N-cadherin are upregulated in diffuse gastric tumors (page 1884, 2<sup>nd</sup> column, last paragraph). Rosivatz teaches that abnormal upregulation of expression of Twist in tumors suggests that Twist may play a role in EMT by its upregulation of N-cadherin and repression of E-cadherin (page 1889, 1<sup>st</sup> column). Rosivatz teaches that Twist plays a role in cancer progression (page 1890, 1<sup>st</sup> column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph).

Martin teaches that node positive breast cancer subjects had elevated Twist expression relative to node negative tissue.

Brodeur teaches the most important clinical variable in predicting outcome of neuroblastoma is the stage of a disease (page 210, 1<sup>st</sup> column, last paragraph).

Brodeur et al further suggests that further prognostic indicators are needed (page 210, 2<sup>nd</sup> column, first paragraph). Brodeur teaches that gene expression may be used as prognostic indicators (page 211, 2<sup>nd</sup> column, 1st full paragraph). Brodeur concludes, "Genetic and molecular profiling of neuroblastomas using microarray, SAGE or other techniques are likely to be used increasingly to identify genetic signatures of subsets of patients that are predictive of outcome. "

Therefore it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine the use of Twist gene expression analysis with neuroblastoma staging information for prognosis of neuroblastoma. The artisan would have been motivated to use gene expression analysis with neuroblastoma staging information because Brodeur suggests gene expression analysis. The artisan

Art Unit: 1634

would be motivated to specifically assay Twist gene expression in subjects with good and bad prognosis because Maestro has identified Twist as an inhibitor of apoptosis and Rosivatz has identified the role of Twist in EMT transition thus knowledge of Twist expression would provide information on the regulation or presence of apoptotic pathways and EMT transition and metastasis. Further the artisan would be motivated because Martin teaches that Twist has elevated expression in lymph nodes of breast cancer, suggesting Twist expression is elevated in cancer that has spread. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to assay Twist expression due to its role in inhibiting cell death (thus promoting survival and potentially growth), its role in EMT transition (thus mobility of cells) and the findings that it has been found over expressed in lymph nodes of cancer patients. The artisan would have a reasonable expectation of success as Brodner teaches staging is the best predictor of clinical outcome and the additional gene expression information including Twist expression would provide insight into resistance to apoptosis and EMT that is associated with Twist expression. The artisan is thus combining a known technique for prognosing neuroblastoma with expression analysis of a known gene that has been shown to play a role in cancer and metastasis.

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maestro et al (Genes & Development (1999) volume 13, pages 2207-2217), Rosivatz et al (American Journal of pathology (2002) volume 161, pages 1881-1891), Martin et al (Breast Cancer research and Treatment (2003) volume 82, pages S117-s118, abstract

Art Unit: 1634

480) and Sotirou et al (Proceedings National Academy of Sciences USA 2003) volume 100, pages 10393-10398).

Claim 14 is drawn to a method of prognosing breast cancer comprising analyzing Twist expression in a breast cancer sample from a patient and comparing Twist expression to Twist expression in a patient with good prognosis and basing prognosis at least in part on Twist expression.

Maestro et al teaches, "A defining characteristic of tumor cells is the escape from regulatory mechanisms that normally restrain cell proliferation. This is accomplished through the accumulation of multiple genetic alterations. Among these are the inactivation of key tumor suppression pathways and the activation of oncogenes" (page 2207, 1<sup>st</sup> column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). Maestro teaches that Twist was identified as a gene that inhibited cell death (apoptosis) (page 2209, 1<sup>st</sup> column, 3<sup>rd</sup> full paragraph). Maestro teaches that over expression of Twist inhibited cell death in response to serum starvation page 2209, 2nd column, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph). Maestro teaches Twist antagonizes p53 induced growth arrest and apoptosis.

Rosivatz et al teaches that epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) plays a crucial role during early steps of cancer metastasis (abstract). Rosivatz teaches that Twist is known regulate EMT by its role as a transcription factor through its regulation of N-cadherin (page 1882, 1<sup>st</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Rosivatz teaches that Twist and N-cadherin are upregulated in diffuse gastric tumors (page 1884, 2<sup>nd</sup> column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). Rosivatz teaches that abnormal upregulation of expression of Twist in tumors suggests that Twist may play a role in EMT by its upregulation of N-cadherin

Art Unit: 1634

and repression of E-cadherin (page 1889, 1<sup>st</sup> column). Rosivatz teaches that Twist plays a role in cancer progression (page 18901, 1<sup>st</sup> column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph).

Martin teaches that node positive breast cancer subjects had elevated Twist expression relative to node negative tissue.

Soritou teaches microarray analysis of breast cancer have identified gene expression profiles able to separate tumor classes associate with patient survival (page 10397, 1<sup>st</sup> column, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph). Soritou teaches that their report provides evidence for the use of microarray technology and gene expression patterns as a prognostic indicator for breast cancer (page 10397, 1<sup>st</sup> column, last paragraph). Soritou teaches that a set of 56 genes could function as a bona fide prognostic marker (page 10397, 2<sup>nd</sup> column, last paragraph).

Therefore it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine the use of Twist gene expression analysis with the gene expression array profiling of Soritou. The artisan would be motivated to specifically assay twist gene expression because Maestro has identified Twist as an inhibitor of apoptosis and Rosivatz has identified the role of Twist in EMT transition. Further the artisan would be motivated because Martin teaches that Twist has elevated expression in lymph nodes of breast cancer, suggesting Twist is increased in breast cancer metastasis. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the to assay Twist expression due to its role in inhibiting cell death (thus promoting survival and potentially growth), its role in EMT transition (thus mobility of cells) and the findings that it has been found over expressed in lymph nodes of patients of other cancer patients.



Art Unit: 1634

The artisan would have a reasonable expectation of success as Soritou teaches his method of staging by gene expression provides bona fide diagnostic markers and the inclusion of Twist gene expression would add more data. The artisan is thus combining a known technique for prognosing cancer with expression analysis of a known gene that has been shown to play a role in cancer and metastasis.

### **Summary**

No claims are allowed.

### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven C. Pohnert whose telephone number is (571)272-3803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00, every second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven C Pohnert/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1634  
Steven Pohnert